

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 CHARLES D. RIEL,

12 Petitioner,

13 v.

14 WARDEN, San Quentin State Prison,

15 Respondent.
16

No. 2:01-cv-0507 MCE DB

DEATH PENALTY CASE

ORDER


17 On January 2, 2020 the undersigned received a handwritten filing from the petitioner,
18 Charles Riel. (ECF No. 588.) The court notes that petitioner is represented by counsel in this
19 action and pro se filings by a represented party are improper. See United States v. Gwiazdzinski,
20 141 F.3d 784, 787 (7th Cir. 1998). Petitioner should address any issues regarding this action with
21 his attorney. Accordingly, the court will deny the request made in petitioner's pro se filing.

22 Additionally, petitioner stated several complaints related to petitioner's conditions of
23 confinement. To the extent petitioner seeks to challenge his conditions of confinement he may
24 exhaust all available administrative remedies and file an action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. See
25 Crawford v. Bell, 599 F.2d 890, 891-92 (9th Cir. 1979) (Claims regarding the conditions of
26 petitioner's confinement, as opposed to the validity or duration of his confinement, must be
27 brought in a civil rights action.). Review of the Inmate Locator Website operated by the
28

1 California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation¹ indicates that petitioner is presently
2 housed at San Quentin State Prison. Accordingly, any civil rights action should be brought in the
3 United States District Court for the Northern District of California which is the appropriate venue
4 for conditions of confinement claims originating at San Quentin State Prison.

5 For the reasons set forth above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's request (ECF
6 No. 588) is denied.

7 Dated: February 5, 2020

8
9
10 
11 DEBORAH BARNES
12 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
13
14
15

16 DB:12
17 DB/orders-capital/riel.pet
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 ¹ See <https://inmatelocator.cdcr.ca.gov/search.aspx>. This court may take judicial notice of facts
28 that are capable of accurate determination by sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be
questioned. Fed. R. Civ. P. 201.